

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/963,915 09/26/2001 John F. Barrett 9416 MMO-10002/38 EXAMINER 7590 11/25/2003 Gifford, Krass, Groh, Sprinkle KERSHTEYN, IGOR Anderson & Citkowski, P.C. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Suite 400 280 N. Old Woodward Avenue 3745 Birmingham, MI 48009-5394 DATE MAILED: 11/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>, </u>		
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/963,915	BARRETT, JOHN F.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Igor Kershteyn	3745
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuent and the period for reply will, by statuent and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>8-15</u> are subject to restriction and/or		·
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 September 2001 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	s/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes of e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for document is ma	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Apporting documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not restic priority under 35 U.S.C. § irst sentence of the specification rovisional application has been the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	ceived in this National Stage ceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) on or in an Application Data Sheet. In received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3745

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

New corrected drawings are required in this application because In figure 2, the legends in the boxes and numerals are not readable,

In figure 3, the numerals for components are missing, and components in figure 3 appear too small which makes it hard to understand the structure. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mangyo et al. (5,252,039) in view of Oda et al. (4,798,493) in view of Japan Patent No. 57-79277.

Mangyo et al. teach a submersible gas compressor comprising a high pressure piston 35 in contact with a bore 36, a crank 25 in mechanical connection with said piston 35, a thermal immersion tank (bottom of container 20) comprising a liquid heat

Art Unit: 3745

transfer fluid 33 (*Note*. Lubricating fluids are known to transfer or somewhat to remove heat from moving parts), wherein the crank 25 has a double hung shaft 28 operating independent of cantilever motion, wherein the reciprocating movement of said drive piston cycles between 600 and 800 cycles per minute (inherently).

Mangyo et al. teach all the claimed subject matter except that they don't teach the drive piston mounted to the ceramic high pressure piston such that movement of the drive piston simultaneously moves the ceramic high pressure piston further comprising a compliant coupling between said ceramic high pressure piston and said drive piston.

Oda et al, in figure 5, teach the drive piston 25 mounted to the ceramic high pressure piston 21 such that movement of the drive piston 25 simultaneously moves the ceramic high pressure piston 21 further comprising a compliant coupling 22,23,24 between said ceramic high pressure piston 21 and said drive piston 24.

Since Mangyo et al. and Oda et al. are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, that is the reciprocating piston pump art, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the compressor of Mangyo et al. with the composite piston as taught by Oda et al. for the purpose of reducing frictional losses between the piston and the cylinder.

Mangyo et al. as modified by Oda et al. teach all the claimed subject matter except that they don't teach the ceramic high pressure piston in contact with a ceramic sleeve.

Art Unit: 3745

Japan Patent in figures 1 and 2, teaches a reciprocating compressor having the ceramic high pressure piston 13 in contact with a ceramic sleeve 14.

Since Mangyo et al. as modified by Oda et al. and Japan Patent are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, that is the reciprocating compressor art, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the compressor of Mangyo et al. as modified by Oda et al. with the ceramic liner as taught by Japan Patent for the purpose of further reducing frictional losses between the piston and the cylinder.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Prior Art

Prior art made of record but not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure and consist of four patents.

Holland (4,539,818) is cited to show a reciprocating compressor having a ceramic liner and a piston having a ceramic sleeve but fails to teach a ceramic piston mounted to a drive piston.

Art Unit: 3745

Page 5

Kawamura (4,864,987) is cited to show a ceramic piston in contact with a ceramic liner, wherein the ceramic piston is mounted to a drive piston, but fails to teach the use of the above combination for the reciprocating compressors.

Salzman (5,186,137) is cited to show a reciprocating machine having a ceramic coated cylinder liner a ceramic coated piston.

German Patent 3437999 is cited to show a ceramic cylinder liner for reciprocating piston compressor.

Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Kershteyn whose telephone number is (703) 308 8317. The examiner can be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look, can be reached on (703) 308 1044. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0861.

IK

November 17, 2003

Igor Kershteyn Patent examiner. Art Unit 3745

EDWARD K. LOOK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

11/22/03